Assessing and planning Lusaka city region food system

The role of a multi-stakeholder dialogue in building a more sustainable and inclusive food system
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Support local governments in taking informed policy decisions to make the city region food system more sustainable and resilient to improve livelihoods of smallholders and urban dwellers.

Specifically:

✓ Strengthen capacity of local authorities and other stakeholders within a city region food system to improve food and nutrition security of urban dwellers

✓ Reinforce urban-rural linkages for more inclusive, efficient and resilient activities of small scale agriculture within a city region food system
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From city to territorial approach

- City
- City region
- Upland forest
- Peri-urban and rural green wedges
- Farmers markets, retail and wholesale markets
- Coastal resources
- Riparian zones

© Landscapes for People, Food and Nature
Integrated approach: horizontal and vertical

- **Systemic**: to food production, processing, marketing, consumption, waste, natural resources
- **Multi-sectorial**: agriculture, transport, health, etc.
- **Territorial**: urban, periurban, regional.
CRFS Process

1. **Food system assessment**
   - **CRFS Mapping**
   - **Understand, characterize and map** city region food system (CRFS)
   - **Prioritization:** identify constraints and priorities
   - **In-depth assessment around priorities** to analyze critical issues, weaknesses, gaps and bottlenecks

2. **Visioning**
   - creating a **common understanding** and vision of the food system

3. **Policy support and participatory planning**
   - Define **strategies and plans** to address priorities and critical issues to strengthen the food systems
   - Creation of **thematic working groups** around priorities

4. **Foster multi-stakeholder dialogue, engagement and knowledge exchange**
   - Establishment of a **stakeholder dialogue platform**
Definition of the Lusaka city region

60% of the food consumed in Lusaka comes from the city region.
Governance of the food system in Zambia

- No single existing institution mandated to govern the food system within the city region.

- The agriculture sector is primarily governed by policies and legislation developed and implemented through the **Ministry of Agriculture**.

- The **Local Authorities**, i.e. City and District Councils, under the supervision and/or authority of the **Ministry of Local Government**: Local Authorities govern the food systems with legal authority provided by various laws and by-laws, e.g. **Markets, Street Vending, food safety**, etc.

- **Ministry of Health**, including the **National Food and Nutrition Commission (NFNC)**: **Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock**: The **Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (CPCC)**: **Food Reserve Agency (FRA)**: **Ministry of Lands and Natural Resources**:

- The principle governing policy is the **National Agriculture Policy** (2012-2020) whose primary aim is to ensure that agriculture contributes to reducing poverty and increasing incomes at household level.

- Locally and within each municipal jurisdiction, agriculture is also governed through the local **council by-laws**.
Rationale for a dialogue: who to involve

• Who are the **existing stakeholders** involved in the different components of the CRFS?

• What is the **mandate** of this organisation in relation to the CRFS? What is their main area of operation? Areas of intervention?

• What is their actual **involvement** in the CRFS and in **which part** of the food system?

• What are existing formal and **informal relations** and **networks** between the different stakeholders?

• What are their **views** on the functioning of the actual food system and its specific **strengths, weaknesses, opportunities** and vulnerabilities?

• What are their views on current trends and the desired development to enhance **sustainability** and **resilience** of the CRFS including: constraints to overcome, needs and priorities?

• What is their potential or desired **role** in building more resilient and sustainable CRFS?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Total links</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Out</th>
<th>Influence on the food systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia Revenue Authority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambeef</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zamcops</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition and Consumer Protection Commission</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent retailers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia Bureau of Standards</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Local Government and Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Health</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game stores</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Development</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy Association of Zambia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia Association of Manufacturers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Commerce Trade and Industry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input suppliers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy planning around priority challenges in the CRFS

Supporting food production
• Securing land tenure as a response to competition for land
• Building storage and processing capacity of horticultural products for small scale farmers

Food supply, processing and distribution
• Improving market information systems
• Regulating the role of middlemen at the markets
• Improving sanitation and hygiene, in market places

Food security and nutrition
• Improving nutrition education and awareness, especially in urban areas
• Strengthening Food Safety (quality and traceability)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thematic Area</th>
<th>Prioritized Challenges</th>
<th>Key actors involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Food Supply System (including issues of Market Regulation, Middlemen & Value-addition)** | Poor market information systems | National Food & Nutrition Commission (NFNC)  
Scaling-Up Nutrition (SUN)  
Ministry of Agriculture (MoA)-Nutrition Section & PPD  
Ministry of Fisheries & Livestock (MFL)  
Caritas-Zambia  
Agricultural Consultative Forum (ACF)  
University of Zambia (UNZA)-Food Science Dept.  
Lusaka City Council (LCC)  
World Food Programme (WFP)  
Program Against Malnutrition (PAM)  
Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI)  
Zambia Institute for Policy Analysis & Research (ZIPAR)  
Jesuit Centre for Theological Reflection (JCTR) |
| | Poor sanitation & hygiene (in market places) | |
| | Determination or control of food/product selling-price by middlemen at the markets | |
| **Nutrition Policy & Education (with emphasis on horticulture)** | Inadequate nutrition education—especially in urban areas | National Food & Nutrition Commission (NFNC)  
Scaling-Up Nutrition (SUN)  
Ministry of Agriculture (MoA)-Nutrition Section & PPD  
Ministry of Fisheries & Livestock (MFL)  
Caritas-Zambia  
Agricultural Consultative Forum (ACF)  
University of Zambia (UNZA)-Food Science Dept.  
Lusaka City Council (LCC)  
World Food Programme (WFP)  
Program Against Malnutrition (PAM)  
Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI)  
Zambia Institute for Policy Analysis & Research (ZIPAR)  
Jesuit Centre for Theological Reflection (JCTR) |
| | Poor food safety (quality and traceability) | |
| **Sustainable Rural & Peri-urban Agricultural Production (including issues of Land tenure, Land-use Planning & Agricultural Extension)** | Insecurity of land tenure under customary land | Ministry of Lands & Natural Resources  
Lusaka City Council (LCC)  
Ministry of Local Government & Housing (MLGH)-Lusaka Province Planning Authority  
Zambia Land Alliance (ZLA)  
MoA- Extension Section & Technical Services Branch: Land-use Planning Section  
Ministry of Fisheries & Livestock (MFL)  
Zambia Institute of Planners (ZIP)  
Natural Resources Development College (NRDC)  
Zambia College of Agriculture (ZCA)-Mpika & Monze  
Horticultural Association of Zambia |
| | Poor storage & processing capacity of horticultural products | |
Role of the CRFS assessment and dialogue

• Contribute building a **common vision** on a sustainable food system

• Facilitate the creation of a permanent multi-stakeholder platform to **support the assessment and planning** process

• Create **bridges of communication** and meeting between the various actors to facilitate the knowledge and understanding of the CRFS; Create **synergies and convergences** between different initiatives;

• Help in building a more permanent **local food system network** of key actors and broad inter-sectoral alliances;

• Facilitate the **incorporation and integration** of evidence and results into political plans and programs

• Define the existing **policy mechanisms** where strategies and plans can be hosted;
  • The type and role of the various actors that should be involved in the further implementation of the Agenda/Strategy/Action Plan;
  • The mechanisms that will be applied to coordinate the implementation process;

• Create the basis for **improved and inclusive governance** of the food systems
Conclusions (1) : Challenges

• **Food system** not (yet) in the agenda of local government
• **Fragmentation** of governing bodies;
• **Agriculture** and **FSN policy** highly centralized (MoA)
• Food security vision strongly based on **food provision** (Maize, FISP)
• Lack of a “**territorial vision**”: Look beyond the city boundaries and address linkages and urban-rural relations
• limited **data availability** and willingness to share
Conclusions (2): conditions for success

• The need for robust **inter-sectoral actor networks** at different levels (municipal, regional, national) to formulate policy and define strategies

• The **importance of partnerships** between **municipal** departments and **external organisations** to allow for co-governance. This requires supportive resources and capacity for implementation.

• The determination of **formal governance** and terms of reference so all actors know what is expected and are accountable.

• Focus on local government but seek synergies with the national level where possible.

• Link food council to existing policy processes:
  • Decentralization policy:
  • Formulation of the **National Urban Policy** (with UN-Habitat)
City Region Food System Toolkit

Defining the CRFS
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Thank you!

... and what’s next?